Frank Stilwell

03-12-8

From:

Nancy Schamu [schamu@sso org]

Sent:

Wednesday, February 18, 2004 12 58 PM

To:

Charlene Vaughn, esanderson@preservation ri gov, schamu@ncshpo org, Alan Downer, Bobeck, Ann, Clark, John F. - WDC, Jay Keithley, NATHPO, Valerie Hauser, Andrea Williams.

Andrea Bruns, Bambi Kraus, Elizabeth Merritt, Frank Stilwell, Jo Reese,

gsmith@johnstondc.com, Sheila Burns, John Fowler, dklima@achp.gov, Javier Marques

Subject: NCSHPO comments

National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers

Comments on Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

February 17, 2004,

RECEIVED

 1-ral Colorn, Talencia Converseron Office of the Scoretting

OBY MA

February 18, 2004

a.. Exclusions 4 and 5-The Conference concurs with the Council that these two exclusions should be removed from the text of the agreement.

Re-draft of Programmatic Agreement with the Federal Communications Commission

- a.. C Identification and Evaluation-Visual Effects Item 4. The 30-day review period gives SHPOs 30 days to consider submissions from. agencies/applicants. SHPOs may respond at any point within the 30 days.
- b.. D. Identification and Evaluation-Direct Effects Item 6. There needs to be a parallel requirement for a qualified professional in this section as exists in item 5.
- c. D. Identification and Evaluation-Direct Effects Item 2. d. Add the word "sites" at the end of d

Guidance needed

• Supplementary guidance is needed to clarify the process of coordinating tribal consultation with the federal agency/applicant's responsibility to consult with the SHPO on the presence/absence of historic properties and on determinations of effect.

Nancy Schamu NCSHPO 202-624-5465

> No of Copies rec'd 2 List ABCDE